

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,103	09/16/2003	John D. Reed	CS23599RL	1627
²⁰²⁸⁰ MOTOROLA	7590 05/21/2007 INC		EXAM	INER
600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45		DEAN, RAYMOND S		
ROOM AS437 LIBERTYVILLE, IL 60048-5343			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
		,		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/663,103	REED ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raymond S. Dean	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	<u> </u>	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI te, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 I	<u> March 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	n.	·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		·				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	·				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 August 2004 is/are		jected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed March 8, 2007 regarding the Corazza reference have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' assertion on Page 8, 1st

Paragraph "Corazza also suggest modifying the headroom power when ...". Corazza teaches the adjustment of R sub Step2, which is the headroom value.

2. Applicant's arguments, see remarks filed March 8, 2007 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 – 20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)/103(a) regarding Chen have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art Gholmich et al. (US 2004/0147276).

Gholmich teaches a method for establishing headroom for a mobile station operating in a wireless communication system comprising the steps of: determining a communication channel variance condition (Sections 0009 lines 1-9, 0021 lines 3-7, 0026 – 0028, closed-loop reverse power control comprises determining channel variance conditions such as changes in link quality); and establishing a headroom value based on the communication channel variance condition (Sections 0009 lines 1-9, 0021 lines 3-7, 0026 – 0028).

Application/Control Number: 10/663,103 Page 3

Art Unit: 2618

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 4, 8 9, 11 14, 16 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gholmich et al. (US 2004/0147276).

Regarding Claim 1, Gholmich teaches a method for establishing headroom for a mobile station operating in a wireless communication system comprising the steps of: determining a communication channel variance condition (Sections 0009 lines 1-9, 0021 lines 3-7, 0026 - 0028, closed-loop reverse power control comprises determining channel variance conditions such as changes in link quality); and establishing a headroom value based on the communication channel variance condition (Sections 0009 lines 1-9, 0021 lines 3-7, 0026 - 0028).

Regarding Claim 2, Gholmich teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Gholmich further teaches wherein the mobile station performs the steps of determining and establishing (Sections 0009 lines 1-9, 0021 lines 3-7, 0026 - 0028, when the mobile increases it's power due to the channel condition the headroom of the mobile will be decreased).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding Claim 12, Gholmich teaches a mobile station comprising: means for determining a communication channel variance condition (Sections 0009 lines 1-9, 0021 lines 3-7, 0026 - 0028, when the mobile increases it's power due to the channel condition the headroom of the mobile will be decreased); and means for establishing a headroom value based on the communication channel variance condition (Sections 0009 lines 1-9, 0021 lines 3-7, 0026 - 0028, when the mobile increases it's power due to the channel condition the headroom of the mobile will be decreased).

Regarding Claim 16, Gholmich teaches a wireless communication system comprising: a base station; at least one mobile station (Figure 1); means for determining a communication channel variance condition (Sections 0009 lines 1 – 9, 0021 lines 3 – 7, 0026 – 0028, closed-loop reverse power control comprises determining channel variance conditions such as changes in link quality); and means for establishing a headroom value based on the communication channel variance condition (Sections 0009 lines 1 – 9, 0021 lines 3 – 7, 0026 – 0028).

Regarding Claims 3, 13, Gholmich teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 2, 12. Gholmich further teaches wherein the mobile station determines a maximum data rate based on the headroom value (Sections 0010, 0036) and sends the maximum data rate to a base station (Sections 0010, 0036).

Regarding Claims 4, 14, Gholmich teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 2, 12. Gholmich further teaches wherein the mobile station determines a

Art Unit: 2618

maximum data rate based on the headroom value (Sections 0010, 0036) and sends a rate adjustment request to a base station (Section 0010).

Regarding Claim 8, Gholmich teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Gholmich further teaches wherein a base station performs the steps of determining and establishing (Sections 0009 lines 1 – 9, 0021 lines 3 – 7, 0026 – 0028).

Regarding Claim 9, Gholmich teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 8. Gholmich further teaches wherein the step of determining a communication channel variance condition includes examination of an inner loop power control bit stream (0021 lines 3-7).

Regarding Claim 11, Gholmich teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 8. Gholmich further teaches determining a data rate assignment for a mobile station using the headroom value (Sections 0010, 0036) and sending the data rate assignment to the mobile station (Sections 0010, 0036).

Regarding Claim 17, Gholmich teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 16. Gholmich further teaches means for determining a data rate based on the headroom value (Sections 0010, 0036).

Regarding Claim 18, Gholmich teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 17. Gholmich further teaches means for sending the data rate between the base station and said at least one mobile station (Figure 1, Sections 0010, 0036).

Art Unit: 2618

5. Claims 5 – 6, 15, 19 – 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gholmich et al. (US 2004/0147276) in view of Corazza (US 6,563,810).

Regarding Claims 5, 15, 19, Gholmich teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 2, 12, 16. Gholmich does not teach detecting a battery condition of the mobile station; and modifying the headroom value based on the battery condition.

Corazza teaches detecting a battery condition of the mobile station; and modifying the headroom value based on the battery condition (Col. 6 lines 30 – 51, the headroom value, R sub Step2, is dependent on the maximum transmit power, which is dependent on the amount of battery energy, the headroom value is thus dependent on said battery energy by virtue of it's dependence on the maximum transmit power).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Gholmich with headroom adjustment method of Corazza for the purpose providing an alternative means of determining a maximum data rate.

Regarding Claim 6, Gholmich in view of Corazza teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 5. Corazza further teaches determining if the battery condition relates to a low battery level; and if the battery condition relates to a low battery level, increasing the headroom value (Col. 6 lines 30 – 51, the headroom value, R sub Step2, is dependent on the maximum transmit power, which is dependent on the amount of battery energy, the headroom value is thus dependent on said battery energy by virtue of it's dependence on the maximum transmit power).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding Claim 20, Gholmich in view of Corazza teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 19. Gholmich further teaches means for determining a data rate based on the headroom value (Sections 0010, 0036); and means for sending the data rate between the base station and said at least one mobile station (Figure 1, Sections 0010, 0036).

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gholmich et al. (US 2004/0147276) in view of Czaja et al. (US 7,023,822).

Regarding Claim 7, Gholmich teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 2. Gholmich does not teach wherein determining a communication channel variance condition includes measuring a variance in a primary pilot power.

Czaja teaches measuring a primary pilot power (Column 2 lines 35 – 43, lines 48 – 49, Column 3 lines 15 – 16, Column 10 lines 47 – 54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the pilot power measurement method of Czaja in the mobile stations of Gholmich for the purpose of determining the proper time to perform a handoff initiation as taught by Czaja.

7. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gholmich et al. (US 2004/0147276) in view of Rezaiifar et al. (US 2003/0002464).

Regarding Claim 10, Gholmich teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 9. Gholmich does not teach sending the headroom value to the mobile station.

Rezaiifar further teaches sending the headroom value to the mobile station (Sections 0095 – 0096, the max rate possible, which comprises the headroom value, is sent to the mobile station so that said mobile station can transmit at a particular data rate on the reverse link).

Gholmich and Rezaiifar both teach a CDMA system in which a headroom value is established thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the headroom establishment method of Rezaiifar as an alternative means for establishing a headroom value.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond S. Dean whose telephone number is 571-272-7877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raymond S. Dean

May 9, 2007

EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 9